Immediate implant placement and restoration on hybrid abutments
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Treatment of a 65-year-old patient

Bone stability and aesthetics using an innovative implant design

DR HENRIETTE LERNER, BADEN-BADEN, GERMANY

Implant success today consists of more than just “osseointegration accomplished”. We also have to take into account the aesthetic result. The present clinical case with high aesthetic patient expectations illustrates the treatment of a partially edentulous 65-year-old female patient with grade 2 mobility in all teeth.

Introduction

The Morse-tapered conical connection (Fig. 1) has been shown to be the most stable connection available at this time. Some implant systems have started to implement it, with documented stability of the bone level (Bicon, Ankylos).

There is scientific evidence that micro-movements rather than the size of the microgap are the reason for bone loss [1]. Normally, the microgap in implant connections has been reported as being between 21 and even 60 µm, which allows for the accumulation of bacteria, local inflammation and bone loss. In a Morse-tapered conical connection, known within the aerospace industry as a “cold-welding connection”, the internal line angle between the implant and the connector is less than 0.25 degrees. The microgap is smaller (1.1–1.5 µm) than a bacterium (2–6 µm) [2].

Therefore, this connection is the most stable connection currently known and associated with the lowest incidence of screw loosening (0.37 per cent) [3]. In addition, it has demonstrated a high level of bending stability in shear tests under 800 N at 30 degrees [4]. Gargiulio [5] showed in his 1980 article that the thicker the tissue above an implant > 4 mm, the less bone loss will occur after re-entry. The reason is the biologic width, which must be at least approx. 3 mm. In a thin tissue biotype (< 2 mm) the biologic width will be built at the expense of the bone. Linkevičius [6] showed in a recent article that there will be bone loss if the tissue biotype is thin, even when using implants with platform switching. Therefore, the surgical procedure will always include changing the soft-tissue biotype with a connective-tissue graft or membranes before or during surgery. More and more studies and clinical observations show that a concave profile of the running room creates a higher and thicker volume of peri-implant tissue [7] and maintains it in the long run [8] (see Figs. 4 to 6).
Based on these principles, the implant system used in the present case uses a suitable platform-switching concept (Figs. 2 and 3) and a concave profile of the running room of the provisional, healing, impression and final prosthetic parts (Figs. 4 to 6).

**Case report**

A 65-year-old female patient included in a study presented with a partially edentulous situation, with all teeth having grade 2 mobility (Fig. 7). All maxillary teeth were to be extracted, and extraction with immediate loading was planned. The case was demonstrated to the patient on photos, and digital set-up was performed according to the DSD software principle. A provisional was fabricated based on the wax-up, which was inserted immediately after implant placement.

The implants were inserted in the palatal wall of the alveolus in a perfect three-dimensional position, under the bone level, at a distance of 2–4 mm from the buccal plate. All implants had a primary stability of 35 Ncm (Figs. 8 to 25).

There were some buccal defects that were grafted with β-TCP and HA (Maxresorb, Botiss) and Osgide (Curasan). Vertical defects were grafted with the help of the sonic weld technique (KLS Martin).
The particulate material was applied.

The positions of the implants are the first precondition for a predictable aesthetic outcome.

All implants in situ.

Provisional “snap-on caps”.

The caps and the provisional abutments are made of PEEK (polyetheretherketone). Thanks to their snapping mechanism, they need very little provisional cement for fixation. They were polymerized directly in the mouth in the surgical session, into the provisional prepared in advance.

After placing the implants and inserting the provisional bridge.

Provisional bridge in situ.

After osseointegration, the same provisional abutments were used for taking impressions with the impression caps. The provisional abutments were not removed in between, reducing the number of removal of components and thereby reducing bone loss and conserving the tissue.

Discussion and conclusion

This case study uses an innovative implantological and prosthetic concept that allows the creation and stabilization of the peri-implant bone and gingival complex. This implant design and treatment concept seems to provide a better aesthetic result in situations with adjacent implants.

According to the author’s daily experience, when treating the aesthetic zone, where the symmetry of the papillae should be perfect, a slightly convex profile of the individual abutment is recommended. The case study of which the present patient was a part documented 608 implants with the design described above (C-Tech, Bologna). Relevant scores were recorded for up to two years, yielding overall implant success rates near 100 per cent (99.7 per cent). In addition, highly aesthetic results were
achieved (98.5 per cent), and no bone loss was detected in any case.

Within the limitations of a case study, the innovative implant and prosthetic concept design enables the practitioner to create and stabilize the peri-implant bone and gingival complex, achieving high rates of osseointegration and aesthetic success. This is the common target of any implant treatment and the answer to patients’ desire.

The data recorded retrospectively in the author’s practice suggest that the concept set forth offers a constructive way to implant success, including aesthetic improvement. This may encourage further research including prospective studies with a higher number of cases.
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